



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/230,463	07/26/1999	DAVID WYNICK	23016.0002	4323
23859	7590	04/13/2004	EXAMINER	
NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C. SUITE 1000 999 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309-3915			GUCKER, STEPHEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/230,463	Applicant(s) WYNICK, DAVID	
	Examiner Stephen Gucker	Art Unit 1647	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stephen Gucker.

(3) John Dean.

(2) Gwendolyn Spratt.

(4) David Wynick, Janell Cleveland.

Date of Interview: _____.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 18 and 25.

Identification of prior art discussed: Luo et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

~~THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.~~

Gary L. Kunz
GARY KUNZ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Stephen Gucker
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ways to amend the claims or provide external evidence to obviate the grounds of the 102 rejection based on Luo et al. were discussed. Some of the specifics discussed concerned possible approaches to defining nerve regeneration or adding some type of treatment time course limitation to the claims. The Examiner noted that most of the specification was drawn to a transgenic knockout animal model, so Applicant was warned to indicate in any amendment where the specification support is for that amendment (by page and line number) in order to try to avoid a new matter type rejection .

56
4/8/04

Gary L. Kunz
GARY KUNZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600